

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,982		01/04/2002	Mark Albert	062891.0613	9525
5073	7590	04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER B 2001 ROSS		·	WANG, LIANG CHE A		
SUITE 600		_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS,	TX 7520	1-2980	2155		
				DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/038,982	ALBERT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Liang-che Alex Wang	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Fe</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Information	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-31 are presented for examination.
- 2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/17/06 has been entered.

#### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/06, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. In that remarks, applicant's argues in substance:
  - a. That: Neither the Farris, et al. or Griffiths patents disclose a capability to assign a network location to a user for a communication session as required in the claimed invention (Remark page 11).

This is found not persuasive because Farris clears teaches central office assigns a register and a office equipment number to the off-hook line, so the SSP central office could identify caller's line by the office equipment number and the telephone number associated with the off-hook line (page 18 lines 7-35). SSP central office corresponds to the first network interface; register, OE number and telephone number corresponds to the network location address; telephone 1A

Art Unit: 2155

corresponds to the network user, and off-hook line for communication between telephone and SSP central office corresponds to the communication session.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Farris et al.,
   US Patent Number 6,122,357, hereinafter Farris, in views of Griffiths, US Patent Number 6,950,508, hereinafter Griffiths.
- 7. Referring to claim 1, Farris teaches a system for communicating user identification information over a communications network, comprising:
  - a. a first network interface (figure 1 SSP) operable to establish a communication session with a network user (Col 18 lines 7-10, figure 1, user 1A), the first network interface (Col 18 lines 14-18, 22-27, central office assigns a register and OE number to the off-hook line) operable to assign the network user (1A) a network locator address (register and OE number corresponds to the network locator address) for the communication session (off-line session between telephone 1A and central office 11 is a communication session)(Col 18 lines 22-36);

Application/Control Number: 10/038,982

Art Unit: 2155

b. a second network interface (figure 1, IP 23) operable to process a request sent by the user (Col 9 lines 41-47), in the communication session (figure 4A steps 10-11), the request forwarded by the first network interface and includes the network locator address of the network user (see figure 5, step 38, telephone is connected with IP, so IP is aware of the telephones connected to it. Telephone address in this example is a network locator address), the second network interface operable to determine if an identity of the network user associated with the network locator address is stored in a local memory of the second network interface (figure 4 A steps S12-S13, Col 19 line 52- Col 20 line 15), the second network interface operable to obtain additional information about the network user in response to the identity of the network user being stored in the local memory (steps S14-S15, Col 20 lines 24-32), the second network interface operable to process the request according to the additional information (IP terminates the operation (as processing the request) according to the obtaining the additional information).

Farris does not teach the first network interface operable to authenticate an identity of a network user.

However, Griffiths teaches SSP (as first network interface in Farris) is capable of authenticate telephone subscribers (as identity of a network user in Farris)(see Col 3 lines 55-58, and Col 5 lines 21-24.)

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the user identity authentication in SSP of Griffiths in Farris such that to have Farris's telephone subscriber being authenticated at the first

network interface because both Farris and Griffiths teaches telephoning system with SSP and STP functions.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Farris because having the user to be authenticated in SSP would prevent unauthorized use of service to occur a taught by Griffiths.

- 8. Referring to claim 5, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the second network interface is operable to store the identity of the network user in the local memory and associated the identity of the network user with the network locator address (Col 20 lines 6-9, Col 10 lines 63-67).
- 9. Referring to claim 6, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the network is an Internet Protocol network and the network locator address is an Internet Protocol address (Col 8 lines 12-14, states Farris' system can be utilized in Internet network, each user could be an Internet based user, and each Internet based user would then have an IP address associated with it in a TCP/IP network.)
- 10. Referring to claim 7 Farris as modified further teaches wherein the additional information about the network user includes at least one service to be performed on the request (Col 20 lines 32-49.)
- 11. Referring to claim 8, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the at least one service to be performed on the request includes rating and filtering content of an exchange of information with the network user associated with the request (Col 20 lines 32-49.)
- 12. Referring to claim 9, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the second network interface associated with the request, the network locator address, and the identity of the

Application/Control Number: 10/038,982

Art Unit: 2155

network user with the first network interface (figure 4A, steps S12-S15, and Col 10lines 63-67.)

- 13. Referring to claim 10, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the first network interface provides the second network interface with an association of the identity of the network user with the network locator address upon establishing the communication session steps figure 4A steps S1-S10.)
- 14. Referring to claims 11-16, 20-24, 26-37, claims 11-16, 20-24, 26-37 encompass the same scope of the invention as that of the claims 1, 5-10. Therefore, claims 11-16, 20-24, 26-37 are rejected for the same reason as the claims 1, 5-10.
- 15. Referring to claim 2 and 3, Farris as modified teaches a system as described in claim 1, and Farris has taught the step of determining that there is no network user identity associated with the network locator address of the network user stored in the local memory (Col 24 line 66 Col 25 line 1), Farris does not explicitly teach the step of providing the network user identity (from the user or first NT interface) to the second network interface in response to a query.

However, for a system having stored data in the second network interface to match with incoming requests, there must be ways to have the stored data being stored in order to be compared in the future. The stored data would not exist without being provided from the system. In Farris system, the first network interface SSP is the central office (see figure 1), which manages all users associated data and also works as the head of the system (Col 9 lines 35-67). Therefore, if the stored data in the second network

Application/Control Number: 10/038,982 Page 7

Art Unit: 2155

interface is being provided somehow, it must be provided from either the central office or from the user itself.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first network interface and the user to provide the user identity to the second network interface because the stored user data would not exist itself, but must be provided from the system and the user data is definitely provided from the user originally.

A person with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modification to Farris because sending the query to the obtain the user identification provides a way for Farris system to update it's stored user data in the second user interface.

- 16. Referring to claim 4, Farris as modified further teaches wherein the second network interface is operable to authenticate the identity of the network user received from the first network interface (Col 11 line 65 Col 20 line 5.)
- 17. Referring to claims 17-19 and 25, claims 17-19 and 25 encompass the same scope of the invention as that of the claims 2-4. Therefore, claims 17-19 and 25 are rejected for the same reason as the claims 2-4.

### Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liang-che Alex Wang whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/038,982 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

(571)272-3992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571)272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Liang-che Alex Wang April 19, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER